I. Introduction

A Corpus-Based Analysis of Preterite Usage in Texas German
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Next, consider the distribution of present and past perfect forms among the

Table 2. Distribution of Present and Past Perfect Forms – Part 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present Perfect</th>
<th>Past Perfect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The fire</td>
<td>burn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to eat</td>
<td>ate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>saw</td>
<td>see</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>run</td>
<td>ran</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Use of Present and Past Perfect Forms – Part 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present Perfect</th>
<th>Past Perfect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>try</td>
<td>tried</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>make</td>
<td>made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>come</td>
<td>came</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>listen</td>
<td>listened</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Use of Present and Past Perfect Forms – Part 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Present Perfect</th>
<th>Past Perfect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>do</td>
<td>did</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>get</td>
<td>got</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bring</td>
<td>brought</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>throw</td>
<td>threw</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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3. Towards a Multi-Attribute Explanation of the Data

Table 6: Distribution of Landscape and Personal Project Forms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Type</th>
<th>Personal</th>
<th>Veto</th>
<th>Team</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formal (F)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informal (I)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formal (F)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informal (I)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: The table represents the distribution of project types and personal project forms.*
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